



CEOP Land Model Working Group

Melbourne, Australia 20 August 2009

Previous Coordinated Land Modeling Activities

Project for Intercomparison of Land-surface Parameterization Schemes

- Local scale; several phases/locations; many groups/models involved
- Goal: Coordinate the evaluation of the next generation of land-surface schemes

Global Soil Wetness Project 1 & 2

- Global scale; 1987-88 and 1986-95; many groups/models involved
- Objectives:
 - Produce state-of-the-art global data sets of land surface fluxes, state variables, and related hydrologic quantities;
 - Develop and test large-scale validation, calibration, and assimilation techniques over land;
 - Provide a large-scale validation and quality check of the ISLSCP data sets;
 - ➢ Compare Land Surface Schemes, and conduct sensitivity studies of specific parameterizations and forcings, which should aid future model and data set development.

North American Land Data Assimilation Systems

- North American domain; 8 groups; 4 LSMs; identical, high quality forcing
- Goal: Improve initialization and simulation of the land surface in coupled forecast simulations by forcing uncoupled LSMs with observation-based data Matt Rodell NASA GSFC

CEOP Land Model Working Group

Motivation:



Matt Rodell NASA GSFC

- Land surface models (LSMs) encapsulate our understanding of physical processes at the land-atmosphere interface
- Observations are imperfect
- Data assimilation and related modeling techniques allow LSMs to integrate data from multiple sources in a physically coherent manner
- LSMs enable spatial and temporal downscaling, data gap filling, and quality control
- **Purpose:** To coordinate global land modeling activities and share data, toward the common goal of generating physically coherent fields of land surface states and fluxes through the integration of disparate data products.

Objectives:

- 1) Identify and gather gridded global meteorological forcing data sets that are available for regional to global off-line LSM simulations
- 2) Analyze the consistency among the data sets to help assess uncertainty
- 3) Share model results and cooperate on intercomparison and cross-validation
- *4) Participate in related land modeling coordination efforts and applications activities*

CEOP Land Model Working Group

Relevance to CEOP: Direct relevance to GEWEX Phase II Objectives 1 and 2:

<u>Objective 1</u>: Produce consistent research quality data sets complete with error descriptions of the Earth's energy budget and water cycle and their variability and trends on interannual to decadal time scales, and for use in climate system analysis and model development and validation.

<u>Objective 2</u>: Enhance the understanding of how energy and water cycle processes function and quantify their contribution to climate feedbacks.

Current Involvement:

- University of Tokyo (T. Koike, T. Graf)
- NASA/GSFC (M. Rodell, D. Mocko, H. Kato Beaudoing, M. Bosilovich, C. Peters-Lidard)
- Princeton University (E. Wood)
- NOAA/NCEP (M. Ek, J. Meng)
- George Mason University (P. Houser)
- Indian Ministry of Earth Sciences (M. Ravindranath)
- Northern Illinois University (J. Song)
- Universidad de Concepcion, Chile (R. Abarca del Rio)

Accomplishments:

- Various model forcing and output datasets
- Model location time series (MOLTS)
- Many studies published in CEOP special issue of JMSJ and elsewhere



CEOP MOLTS Standardization





JMA NetCDF conversion software

Beate's list of variables in CF convention

AEVAP_S	JMA	Evaporation		lon lat time	water_evaporation_amount
AEVAP_S	UKMO	Evaporation		lon lat time	water_evaporation_amount
AEVAP_S	CPTEC	Evaporation		lon lat time	water_evaporation_amount
AEVAP_S	GMAO	EVAP	8	lon lat fc_time	water_evaporation_amount
AEVAP_S	СМС	Evaporation	dt	lon lat time	water_evaporation_flux

Phase II

NASA GSFC

Activities and Opportunities for Collaboration

Land Information System:



-Advanced software infrastructure for modeling and data assimilation -Modular structure facilitates incorporation of new models and capabilities -Ideal platform for model intercomparison

Regional land data assimilation systems:

-North American, South American, European, and Arab LDAS

-Regional optimization; often with high quality forcing and parameter data

LDAS-UT:

-Development of radiative transfer model and advanced assimilation capabilities -Improving precipitation forecasts in coupled simulations

Global LDAS:

-Global forcing and output available from <u>http://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/hydrology</u> -Development of innovative modeling and assimilation algorithms

Princeton Forcing Dataset:

-Internally consistent 50+ year global land model forcing dataset

-Ideal for long term global simulations and model intercomparison

Potential Synergy Among Related Efforts

CEOP Land Model Group

-Much to offer, including a solid foundation in ground observations -Premier land model infrastructure, forcing, and data assimilation expertise

GEWEX Global Land Atmosphere System Study (GLASS):

-Legacy of successful collaborative endeavors includes PILPS and GSWP
-Purview includes coordinating land surface modeling activities
-GLASS/QUEST benchmarking workshop proposed for February 2010

LandFlux:

-Aims to produce highest quality global land surface flux datasets emphasizing the use of observations and/or data integration

Regional Applications:

-RHPs -Arab LDAS example



"Monitoring the Water Cycle in the Arab Region Using NASA Satellite and Data Assimilating Model Technology"

Funding Source: U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID)

Participants: NASA/GSFC, Arab Water Academy, U. Wisconsin (M. Ozdogan), USDA/BARC (M. Anderson), U. California-Irvine (Sorooshian)

Goal: Map hydrological states and fluxes in the Middle East - North Africa (MENA) region, which will serve as a basis for regional water resources assessments.



Method: Optimize a land data assimilation system for the MENA region, in which satellite data, <u>in situ observations from Arab countries</u>, and meteorological analyses will be used for parameterization, forcing, data assimilation, and validation.

Benefits:

Near-real time monitoring of water resources across political boundaries Historical and regional perspective on local hydrological variability Rapid assessment of the severity and extent of droughts and floods A scientific tool for agricultural planning, including irrigation Potential to assess hydrological impacts of climate change A starting point for international cooperation



Arab LDAS

0.125° Grid



MODIS 250m Intensity of Irrigation



GRACE data assimilation Runoff routing Irrigation modeling









Dominant Land Cover Type







CEOP Land Model Working Group: Summary

ward

Purpose: To coordinate global land modeling activities and share data, toward the common goal of generating physically coherent fields of land surface states and fluxes through the integration of disparate data products.

Relevance to CEOP: Direct relevance to Objectives 1 and 3

Current Involvement: Eight institutions

Related Initiatives:

- GLASS
- LandFlux
- Applications-oriented collaborations

Data Availability:

- NASA/GSFC: http://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/hydrology/
- Princeton: http://hydrology.princeton.edu/data.pgf.php

Key Issue: Integration with other coordinated land modeling activities