CEOP/IGWCO Joint Meeting in Paris

Feb.27 – Mar.1 2006

Comparison of Japanese 25-year Reanalysis with Observational data including CEOP

Tomoaki Ose and JRA-25 Working Group Climate Prediction Division, Japan Meteorological Agency 1-3-4 Otemachi, Tokyo, 100-8122, Japan



Japanese 25-year Re-Analysis project (JRA-25)

Joint Project by Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA) and Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry (CRIEPI) of Japan

Production of JRA-25 will be completed in April 2006.

Special thanks to observational data contributors in the world including CEOP, NCEP, ECMWF, NCAR and other organizations and Prof. Koike in University of Tokyo for his large support

Data Assimilation and Forecast System

Spectral T106, 40 vertical layers, top at 0.4hPa 3DVAR system with the land surface assimilation system. low-resolution version of the T213 JMA's operational numerical models

Wind retrieval data surrounding tropical cyclones by Dr. Mike Fiorino of LLNL/USA more precise position and intensity of tropical cyclones

COBE SST and sea ice

COBE:Centennial comprehensive marine dataset by JMA



1800 UTC 19 September 1990 in the western North Pacific JRA-25 Control





Centennial SST data set from 1900 is used in JRA-25

• Ishii et al.



The Kobe collection marine data are assimilated.



Performance of JRA-25

Comparison with observation



Comparison with Annual cycle of **SYNOP** precipitation

SYNOP



00

900

Penang

in the western side of the Malaysia Peninsula





JMA SiB for JRA-25





Intercomparison of Illinois Rootdepth Soil Wetness

Purple: Monthly observation (Hollinger et. al., 1994), Green: JRA-25 Stream-B, Red: JRA-25 Stream-A, Yellow: NCEP R-2, Cyan: ERA-40. The serious droughts in 1988 and 1999 are well reproduced in JRA-25. Comparison of JRA-25 with CEOP surface data (Oct. 2002 – Sep. 2003)









CEOP Reference S ites



Summary

From March 2006 onward, JMA will start **quasi-real-time JMA CDAS** with the same system as that for JRA-25. These datasets will be available for CEOP community soon after the completion from University of Tokyo.

From the comparison with CMAP, GPCP and SYNOP, JRA-25 has advantages in the performance of **precipitation** inter-annually and climatologically.

Assimilated **soil wetness** is checked with the Illinois root-depth observation (Hollinger et al., 1994). Serious droughts in 1988 and 1999 are well reproduced in JRA-25.



Conclusion

Comparison with CEOP for Oct. 2002-Sep. 2003 at Eastern Siberian Tundra (Tundra), Lindenberg, SGP and Chao-Phraya River (Chao).

Daily precipitation
relatively consistent at Lindenberg, not at Chao
Low surface albedo at Tundra and High at Lindenberg
low snow albedo in JRA-25?

To be improved in the next reanalysis project JRA-50. Large incoming SW, small downward LW at all points small cloud amounts over land Only annual cycle of LHF (and SHF) are comparable To some extent

