Water is a Key bridging between climate processes and societal benefits.









Mejerda River

It is virtually certain that drought will become more severe.



Altitude (km)

R

Polar ce

A: Tropopause in arctic zone

B: Tropopause in temperate zone

















Hydro-SiB

- 1. Carbon Allocation Model allocates net primary production to leaf and root carbon pool.
- 2. Carbon-pool Update Model calculates carbon loss as normal turnover and drought stress loss.
- 3. Carbon-LAI conversion model calculates leaf area index, which is needed to run land surface model at next time step.

Medjerda River Basin. a) Discharge gauge, rain gauge, and river networks from DEM. b) Topography delineated by DEM. c) Land-use type. d) Soil type.

- a) Simulated (blue line) and observed (red dots) daily river discharge, and
- b) Flow duration curve of simulated (blue) and observed (red) daily river discharge at Jendouba from September 1981 to August 1982

LAI: Simulated vs. MODIS

Standardized anomaly (SA) index for estimated annual maximum leaf area index (green line) from WEBDHM+DVM and observed annual crop production in Tunisia (orange line).

Main deaths from natural disaster by x% Disaster Prevention Investment

1d. Build resilience and reduce

Flood simulation

1. Develop of flood models to reproduce actual flood damage.

1. End Poverty

> 3. Translate flood model outputs into economic model inputs

Economic simulation

4. Develop economic models to reproduce actual economic parameters. 5. Simulate <u>effect of the</u> <u>counter measures on</u> <u>economy and society</u> with several senarios.

2. Demonstrate counter

measure effects for

reducing damage.

Main deaths from natural disaster by x% Disaster Prevention Investment

1d. Build resilience and reduce

Flood simulation

1. End Poverty

Result of Confirmation : CaMa-flood has reproducibility against EM-DAT on affected population

Assuming that the place flooded deeper than 5.0m is affected,

CaMa-flood has some reproducibility compared to EM-DAT

CaMa-flood vs. EM-DAT total affected population

22

Main deaths from natural disaster by x% Disaster Prevention Investment

1d. Build resilience and reduce

Flood simulation

1. Develop of flood models to reproduce actual flood damage.

1. End Poverty

> 3. Translate flood model outputs into economic model inputs

Economic simulation 4. Develop economic models to reproduce actual economic

parameters.

5. Simulate <u>effect of the</u> <u>counter measures on</u> <u>economy and society</u> with several senarios.

2. Demonstrate counter

measure effects for

reducing damage.

2. Establish the <u>levee as disaster prevention</u> and calculate the effect on damage reduction

Establishing LEVEE as Disaster Prevention in CaMa-flood and measure the effect of the levees on the damage reduction

Building levee in mainstream of LOWER(LW) and MIDDLE(MD) basin

9. Yamazasi, 2012, Physically-based Modelling of Large-scale Floodingin Continental-scale Rivers of the World

Main deaths from natural disaster by x% Disaster Prevention Investment

1d. Build resilience and reduce

Flood simulation

1. Develop of flood models to reproduce actual flood damage.

1. End Poverty

> 3. Translate flood model outputs into economic model inputs

4. Develop economic models to reproduce actual economic parameters.

Economic simulation

5. Simulate <u>effect of the</u> <u>counter measures on</u> <u>economy and society</u> with several senarios.

2. Demonstrate counter

measure effects for

reducing damage.

Example of parameter calculation ~ ψ: Physical damage rate ~

What percentage of Physical Asset were damaged for each 5 income brackets??

Main deaths from natural disaster by x% Disaster Prevention Investment

1d. Build resilience and reduce

Flood simulation

1. Develop of flood models to reproduce actual flood damage.

1. End Poverty

> 3. Translate flood model outputs into economic model inputs

Economic simulation 4. Develop economic

models to reproduce actual economic parameters. 5. Simulate <u>effect of the</u> <u>counter measures on</u> <u>economy and society</u> with several senarios.

2. Demonstrate counter

measure effects for

reducing damage.

Results are different between LW levee and MD levee

Seasonality of distributed Bowen Ratio: Sensible Heat Flux/Latent Heat Flux

LDAS Seasonality: May~Mid June, H > IE; Mid June~Aug; IE>H LDAS Regionality: H is dominant in N.W. TP, IE is dominant in S.E. TP

JICA-Tibet Intensive Radio Sonde Observation May 13 to June 11 at Nagu and Gaize Time Change of Potential Temperature at 300hPa in Naqu N. N. M. 26 MAY 1JUN 16MAY 2008 21 MAY 6JÚN 1 LUN PT Difference (K) 11Z13NAY-11Z16MAY2008 at Nagu PT Difference (K) 11Z16MAY-11Z22MAY2008 at Nagu PT Difference (K) 11Z22MAY-11Z29MAY2008 at Nagu PF Difference (K) 11Z29MAY-11Z03JUN2008 at Nagu PT Difference (K) 11203JUN-11212JUN2D08 at Nagu (a (e (þ:« (C) (d NW1 NC NW2 NW3 15 -12 -9 -6 -3 0 3 6 12 15 15-12 -9 -6 -3 0 3 6 5 12 15 15-12-9-6-3 0 3 6 9 12 15 15-12-9-6-303691215 15 - 12 - 9 - 6 - 3 0 3 6 9 12 15 PT Difference (K) 11Z18MAY-11Z22MAY2008 ot Gaize PT Difference (K) 11Z31MAY-11Z12JUN2008 at Saize PT Difference (K) 11Z13NAY-11Z18MAY2008 at Gaize PT Difference (K) 11Z22MAY-11Z25MAY2008 at Gaize PT Difference (K) 11Z25MAY-11Z31MAY2008 at Gaize (g (nº [**K**o

9 12 15

G٧

3 6 9 12 15

GC2

15-12-9-6-3 0 3 6 9 12 15

3 6 9 12 15

GW2

500-15-12 -9 -6 -3

GW1

-15 -12 -9 -6 -3 0

3 6 9 12 15

GC'

500 -15 -12 -9 -6 -3 0

Warming Phase in Pre-monsoon Season

height(hPa) 320 700

450

500

550

600

G-G14UTC

310 315 320 325 330 335 340 345 350 355 360 365 370 (K)

Latent Heat

Horizontal Advection

Warming Phase in Pre-monsoon Season

30.9N 31.2N 31.5N 31.8N 32.1N 32.4N 32.7N 33N

Latent Heat (K/h) averaged for 00Z25MAY2008-00Z31MAY2008 in Gaize

Vertical Advection (Sensible Heat) Vertical Advection (K/h) averaged for 00225MAY2008-00231MAY2008 in Gaize

30.9N 31.2N 31.5N 31.8N 32.1N 32.4N 32.7N 33N

Horizontal Advection (K/h) averaged for 00Z25MAY2008-00Z31MAY2008 in Gaize

One-day increase in Temperature (K) and Geopotential height at 300 hPa 12229MAY2008

-0.5 -0.4 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5

Horizontal Advection

Impacts of Errors in Model Rainfall on the Soil Moisture

ARPS

33N

32.7N

32.4N

32.1N

31.8N

31.5N

31.2N

30.9N

MTSAT/1R1

CALDAS ομ/(K) [K] [K] 33N 280 280 33N 280 270 270 32.8N 270 32.7N 260 260 32.6N 260 255 255 32.4N 255 32.4N Gaize aize 250 250 32.2N 250 32.1N 245 245 245 32N 240 240 240 31.81 31.8N 235 235 235 31.6N 230 31.5N 230 230 31.4N 225 225 225 31.2N 31.2N 220 220 220 31N 215 215 30.9N 215 30.8N 83.4E63.6E63.8E 84E 84.2E84.4E84.6E84.8E 85E 85.2E85.4E85.6E 83.4E 83.6E 83.8E 84E 12R 84 4T 04.6E 84.8E 85E 85.2E 85.4E 85.6E 83.4E83.6E83.8E 8 E 84.2E84.4E84.6E84.8P 65E 85.2E85.4E85.6E

0900 UTC 08th July 2008

