Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Disaster Risk Management: Linking Science to Policy and Practice

Susan L. Cutter University of South Carolina scutter@sc.edu



Tokyo Conference on International Study for Disaster Risk Reduction and Resilience January 14-16, 2015, Tokyo, Japan



What is integrated research?

Integrated disaster risk research engages multiple disciplines and researchers, scales (local to global), methodological approaches, and stakeholders in the co-production of problem-focused, and policy relevant research related to disaster risk.



How does research progress?





What is IRDR?

A decade-long research program focused on Integrated Research on Disaster Risk

Mission: "To develop trans-disciplinary, multi-sectorial alliances for in-depth, practical disaster risk reduction research studies, and the implementation of effective evidence-based disaster risk policies and practices."



international social science council

IRDR, 2015. Annual Report 2014. Beijing: IRDR, p. 2

Vision: to offer "an integrated approach to natural and human-induced environmental hazards through a combination of natural, socio-economic, health and engineering sciences, including socio-economic analysis, understanding the role of communications, and public and political responses to reduce the risk."

--ICSU 2008. A Science Plan for Integrated Research on Disaster Risk: Addressing the challenge of natural and human-induced environmental hazards. Paris: ISCU, p. 18.



Who is IRDR?

A community of interested stakeholders from academe, private sector, government, NGOs who are addressing the challenge of managing disaster risk to reduce losses



What is the integrated research program and how is it implemented?



Research objectives

- 1. Promote integrated research
- 2. Characterize hazards, vulnerability, and

risk

- 3. Understand decision-making
- 4. Reduce risk and curb losses

Implementation:

Focus on research, capacity-building, networking, and knowledge sharing activities



Assessing and Advancing Integrated Research on Disaster Risk

Assessment of Integrated Research on Disaster Risk (AIRDR) Project Co-chairs: Susan Cutter (USA), Allan Lavell (Costa Rica)

Goals:

1. provide a baseline of the current state of the science on integrated research on disaster risk;

2. identify and support a longer-term science agenda for the research community and funding entities;

3. create a mechanism for substantiating advances in the scientific evidentiary basis for supporting policy and practice.





AIRDR findings:

Bibliometric analysis of English-language peer reviewed research publications

- •Disaster risk research remains academic and multi-disciplinary, little stakeholder engagement
- •Little evidence that research put into practice or policy-making
- •Theory has advanced (vulnerability, resilience, climate adaptation)
- •Limited geographic coverage; limited integration

ICSU Ad-Hoc Expert Group Synthesis (in progress):

- •Science-driven approaches to disaster risk management help reduce impacts, build resilience, and facilitate post-HFA2 goals
- •Periodic assessment of research helps to monitor progress and catalyze policy



Improving the Infrastructure of Disaster Loss Data

Disaster Loss Data (DATA) Project

Co-Chairs: Daniele Ehrlich (Italy), Sisi Zlatanova (The Netherlands), Susan Cutter (USA)

Members representing CIESIN (Columbia University, USA), CRED (University of Louvain, Belgium), Swiss Re, EU Joint Research Centre, MunichRe, UNISDR, NCDC/NOAA (USA), National S&T Center for Disaster Reduction (Taiwan), Austrian Government, Delft University (The Netherlands), IFRC, Eclac Cepal, The World Bank, UNDP

Vision: to improve the infrastructure of disaster loss data globally and locally

Goals:

•Identify quality of existing data and data needs for improving integrated disaster risk management

•Bring together loss data stakeholders to identify common issues and develop synergies

- •Develop standards/protocols to minimize data uncertainty
- •Define "losses" and create transparent methodologies for assessing them
- •Advocate for loss data at sub-national geographies
- •Educate users on database biases and data interpretation

IRDR Integrated Research on Disaster Risk

DATA activities

Reconcile peril classification across loss databases with implementation



Revision in progress (March 2015)

Outreach and Consultations:

- WMO technical review
- ■2nd WMO User Workshop
- EU Data Loss Experts
- UNESCAP
- EM-DAT Technical Advisory Committee
- IRDR China

Implementation of Peril Classification:

EM-DAT, DesInventar, SHELDUS, EU, UNESCAP

Forthcoming: *Guidelines on Measuring Losses from Disasters: Human and Economic Impact Indicators*

Advancing the Understanding of Risk Perception, Communication, and Decision-making

Risk Interpretation and Action (RIA) Project

Co-Chairs: Ann Bostrom (USA) and Mark Pelling (UK)

Goal: build a community of practice on risk perception, communication and decision-making that focuses on better understanding how people make decisions in the face of risk, with special emphasis on disaster risk and resilience building.

Four focus areas:

- 1. Decision-making for uncertainty
- 2.Early warning systems
- 3.Adaptive management and resilience
- 4. Individual perceptions and risk behavior





RIA activities

Publications:



International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction

Volume 1, October 2012, Pages 5-16



Reporting on the Seminar - Risk Interpretation and Action (RIA):

Wellington 6140 New Zealahu Emili: e.s.huskon-dos/tell/massey.ac.nz Wil: Iterahmena in inferentition 0651_the Lonk.at Abstract The paper reports on the World Social Science (WSS) Februare semicar on Risk Interestion and Action (RIA)

Fellows seminar on Riak Interpretation and Action (RIA), undertaken in New Zealand in December, 2013. This seminar was coordinated by the WSS Fellows program of

Review Article

Risk interpretation and action: A conceptual responses to natural hazards

J. Richard Eiser 📥 · ^{1,} 🖾 , Ann Bostrom², Ian Burton³, David M. Joh Paton⁶, Joop van der Pligt⁷, Mathew P. White⁸

Disast	er Ris	k Comm	unication	1:
Dialogues	for R	educina	Disaster	Risk

An Integrated Research on Disaster Risk, Risk Interpretation and Action programme Briefing Note



Risk Interpretation and Action

How do scientists, practitioners and people at risk make decisions, individually and collectively? Social theory, psychology and learning theory have all addressed this question but somewhat independently. This has led to a number of discontinuities in the analysis of risk communication and perception and gaps in research and practitioner activity (and funding). The result is a number of unanswered questions:

Capacity Building:

•25 World Social Science (WWS) fellows on Risk Interpretation and Action (RIA)

•Support GAR15 (Pathways for Transformation)

•Establish ICoE-RIA at Kings College, London UK

Pathways for Transformation:

Disaster risk management to enhance development goals



The photograph degicts he representative from national government, local authorities, Matori organizations and low Materi community who collaborated is a rappilly introduced to the seeds and facilitate recovery of the Christoherch community capite factor factor factors are depicted and a second of the Christoherch community capite and and the second and and operated after the Followare 2 Tub comfiguration of the second second and operated after the Followare 2 Tub comfiguration to be welfare come being decommission, Elibiana and and the Followare 2 Tub community does not be an effect and operated after the Followare 2 Tub comfiguration to be welfare come being decommission, Elibiana in a community, Subsequent to be welfare come being decommission, Elibiana the commutity and the second second being and the second second is 1 He Followare and the second second being decommission, Elibiana the Comerbary robubil.

Rohus muras was also registered as a Muistry of Crit Defense and Emergency Mangement recovery assistance convergences to the astrophastic, by her recently decasted "Lybole" registent article loader Ad-Henner Radoin Tan OVZM (generate conversion hist walking stock and flanked on his tright by the Cabner Muitter for Marco Development the Hard registered all the neuron is the register as welfare scores: and more Desator Dita Radoin to generating. He had registered all the neuron is the register as welfare scores: and more addressed Marco Hardward and the stock of the score of the score of the score of the score of the addressed Marco Hardward and the score of th

Uncovering the Root Causes of Disasters

Forensic Investigations of Disasters (FORIN) Project

Co-Chairs: Irasema Alcántara-Ayala (Mexico) and Anthony Oliver-Smith (USA)

Goal: to provide a framework for examining the root causes and underlying risk drivers of disaster through comprehensive, in-depth, and integrated investigations that aim to shift disaster management policies.



by Ian Burton
s as offer to take the "atmat" issue including the binamical Group
of the term natural dataway,
cifer Strainer Canage (1976), the International
more take the term of the term natural dataway.
The FIGURE of the term of term o



The FORIN Methodology:1.Critical cause analysis2.Meta-analysis3.Longitudinal analysis4.Scenarios of disaster





FORIN activities

Capacity Building:

4 Training workshops (Taiwan, Mexico, US)

Completed FORIN case studies:

Typhoon Morakot Great East Japan Earthquake Tsunami Metro Manila (FORIN and Climate Change

FORIN's impact:

•Significant recognition of the approach globally

•Provides structured approach to identify true cause of disasters and the actions to reduce or eliminate the risk

•Not fully realized; research takes time and resources that are beyond the scope of existing studies to date

Review of FORIN*:

"it gives power to analysis that conceptualises disasters as intrinsic to development and societal processes more broadly, based on its inter-disciplinary and comprehensiveness."

Next: An advanced version is now in development(mid-2015)

*"A review of the FORIN methodology and existing FORIN case studies", by A. Fraser, S. Patterson, and M. Pelling (2014), for EU FP7 funded PEARL (Preparing For Extreme and Rare Events) project., p. 6. Draft available: <u>http://www.irdrinternational.org/projects/forin/</u>

How does research progress?



Why has integrated research on disaster risk not progressed further?

- No common synthesis (e.g. lack common integrative questions and concepts, inconsistent methodologies; variability in definitions)
- Scientists not good at translating findings into action; practitioners not always understand the nuances of science
- Takes time and resources to pull teams together, to develop research networks
- Geographic disparities between researchers and places studies (hard to translate into local action)
- Limited engagement with non-academic stakeholders

- Lack trust and social networks between all stakeholders
- Leadership and willingness to work in a new knowledge environment
- Career reward mechanisms and challenges imposed by employers (especially academia)
- Constraints imposed by funding entities (lack of vision, biases of program officers)
- Lack good examples of integrated disaster research

For further information



IRDR

Integrated Research on Disaster Risk

scutter@sc.edu s.zlatanova@delft.nl mark.pelling@kd.ac.uk aros@ufl.edu

http://www.irdrinternational.org

Susan Cutter

scutter@sc.edu





http://webra.cas.sc.edu/hvri/

CoF